

REMARKS

Claims 40-68 remain in the present application. Applicants respectfully request further examination and reconsideration of the rejections based on the arguments set forth below.

Election Without Traverse Between Species 1A, 1B, 2A And 2B

In the Office Action mailed March 16, 2009 for the above-captioned patent application, the Examiner stated that the present Application contains two distinct species, where each species contains two sub-species. As such, the Examiner has required Applicants to elect a single species for prosecution on the merits.

Applicants elect without traverse Claims 40-68, drawn to Species 1B, for prosecution on the merits.

CONCLUSION

Applicants respectfully submit that Claims 40-68 are in condition for allowance and Applicant earnestly solicits such action from the Examiner.

The Examiner is urged to contact Applicants' undersigned representative if the Examiner believes such action would expedite resolution of the present Application.

Please charge any additional fees or apply any credits to our PTO deposit account number: 50-4160.

Respectfully submitted,

MURABITO, HAO & BARNES LLP

Dated: 4 / 16 / 2009

/BMF/

Bryan M. Failing
Registration No. 57,974

Two North Market Street
Third Floor
San Jose, CA 95113
(408) 938-9060